

UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/130,998 08/07/98 STERN М 15818-005000 **EXAMINER** LMC1/0602 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW MEINECKE DIAZ, S TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834 2765 DATE MAILED: 06/02/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/130,998

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Susanna Meinecke-Díaz

Group Art Unit 2765

Stern

| X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>May 2, 2000</u> | |
|--|-------------|
| | |
| ∑ This action is FINAL. | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/035 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | sed |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). | is |
| Disposition of Claim | |
| | applicat |
| Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from cons | sideration |
| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | |
| X Claim(s) <u>1-7, 9-14, and 16-20</u> is/are rejected. | |
| ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected | to. |
| ☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election req | |
| Application Papers | |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | •• |
| All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been | |
| received. | |
| received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) | |
| received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | |
| *Certified copies not received: | |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | |
| Attachment(s) | |
| ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 | |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) | |
| ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 | |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | |
| ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | |
| | |
| • | |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES | |

Application/Control Number: 09/130,998

Art Unit: 2765

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

- 1. The request filed on May 2, 2000 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.
- 2. No new amendments have been submitted by the Applicant; therefore, claims 1-7, 9-14, and 16-20 remain pending.
- 3. The present Examiner maintains all of Examiner Caudle's rejections found in paper no.
- 10. They are summarized as follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 10, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Please refer to sections 2 and 3 of paper no. 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/130,998

Art Unit: 2765

Page 3

j

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10-12, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Harrison, III et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,642,484), as discussed in section 3 of paper no. 7 and section 5 of paper no. 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3, 7, 13, 14, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison, III et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,642,484) in view of Fite et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,557,721), as discussed in section 5 of paper no. 7 and section 7 of paper no. 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/130,998

Art Unit: 2765

10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison, III et al.

(U.S. Patent No. 5,642,484), as discussed in section 6 of paper no. 7 and section 8 of paper no.

10.

11.

Conclusion

This is a CPA. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier

application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next

Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION

IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however,

event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of

this final action.

Page 4

j

Application/Control Number: 09/130,998

Art Unit: 2765

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna Meinecke-Díaz whose telephone number is (703) 305-1337. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allen MacDonald, can be reached at (703) 305-9708.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes to Technology Center 2700 is (703) 308-9051 or 9052. Draft or Informal faxes for this Art Unit can be submitted to (703) 305-0040.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

SMD June 1, 2000

ERIC W. STAMBER
PRIMARY EXAMINER